OPG Information request for HQ Transmission Rate Application R-3401-98

September 7, 2000

PART I: Questions pertaining to general matters with regard to the HQ application.

1. a) Please confirm that the term of the current application is for calendar year 2001 only.

PART I: Questions pertaining to general matters with regard to the HQ application.

1. b) Please indicate TransEnergie's (TE) plan for seeking approval of transmission rates beyond 2001.

PART II: Questions pertaining to the methodology and assumptions used by TE in its derivation of Total Transfer Capabilities (TTC) and Available Transfer Capabilities (ATC).

1. With respect to the derivation of TTC and ATC:

   a) Please confirm that the methodology and general assumptions employed by TE to derive these quantities for its transfer paths are consistent with the principles and guidelines developed by NERC in its June 1996 "Available Transfer Capability Definitions and Determination" reference document (including the changes related to the definition of the Capacity Benefit Margin (CBM) and the Transmission Reliability Margin (TRM) recommended by the NERC ATC Subcommittee earlier this year); and,

PART II: Questions pertaining to the methodology and assumptions used by TE in its derivation of Total Transfer Capabilities (TTC) and Available Transfer Capabilities (ATC).

1. With respect to the derivation of TTC and ATC:

   b) If there are material differences from the NERC methodology, please identify these differences and TE's rationale for adopting a different approach.

PART II: Questions pertaining to the methodology and assumptions used by TE in its derivation of Total Transfer Capabilities (TTC) and Available Transfer Capabilities (ATC).

2. For the calculation of TTC, please detail TE's assumptions and philosophy for the following aspect:

   a) TTC established for supplying internal load vs. those established for interchange purposes;

PART II: Questions pertaining to the methodology and assumptions used by TE in its derivation of Total Transfer Capabilities (TTC) and Available Transfer Capabilities (ATC).

2. For the calculation of TTC, please detail TE's assumptions and philosophy for the following aspect:

   b) TTC for long term purposes (e.g. such as using standard ambient conditions) vs. short term purposes (e.g. such as using real-time ambient conditions);

PART II: Questions pertaining to the methodology and assumptions used by TE in its derivation of Total Transfer Capabilities (TTC) and Available Transfer Capabilities (ATC).

2. For the calculation of TTC, please detail TE's assumptions and philosophy for the following aspect:

   c) Use of Special Protection Systems (SPS) to enhance TTC, especially as related to radial hydroelectric units such as those isolated from Ontario to Quebec or from Quebec to Ontario, and interconnections with New York, New England and New Brunswick;

PART II: Questions pertaining to the methodology and assumptions used by TE in its derivation of Total Transfer Capabilities (TTC) and Available Transfer Capabilities (ATC).

2. For the calculation of TTC, please detail TE's assumptions and philosophy for the following aspect:

   d) System dispatch assumptions used in the calculation of TTC (i.e. assuming worst case, typical, or customized scenarios);

PART II: Questions pertaining to the methodology and assumptions used by TE in its derivation of Total Transfer Capabilities (TTC) and Available Transfer Capabilities (ATC).

2. For the calculation of TTC, please detail TE's assumptions and philosophy for the following aspect:

   e) Frequency of re-calculation, for planning purposes and for operating purposes; and,

PART II: Questions pertaining to the methodology and assumptions used by TE in its derivation of Total Transfer Capabilities (TTC) and Available Transfer Capabilities (ATC).

2. For the calculation of TTC, please detail TE's assumptions and philosophy for the following aspect:

   f) Consideration of conditions and constraints external to the TE transmission system.

PART II: Questions pertaining to the methodology and assumptions used by TE in its derivation of Total Transfer Capabilities (TTC) and Available Transfer Capabilities (ATC).

3. For the transfer paths listed in HQT-3 Document 1 Annexe 1:

a) (i) Please provide the information under each column of Table A presented below. Please breakdown the transfer paths to the same level of detail as provided in Annexe 1. Please provide comments on the variability of the calculated ATC and the associated factors in the rightmost column.

Table A: Transfer Capabilities into Quebec: Normal Summer Peak Conditions (30 degree C ambient temperature; typical peak dispatch; all transmission facilities in-service)

Path	TTC	Limiting Contingency	Limiting Element	CBM (i)	TRM (ii)	Existing Contracts (iii)	ATC	Comments on ATC Variability
ALCAN								
New Brunswick								
NEPOOL-I								
NEPOOL-II								
VELCO								
Citizen Util								
NYPA								
HydroOne								
Beau-Chat								
CRT-NiagMo								
Maclaren								
Churchill Falls								

Notes:
i) Capacity Benefit Margin as defined by NERC (or TE's equivalent), in MW
ii) Transmission Reliability Margin as defined by NERC (or TE's equivalent), in MW
iii) Transfer capability reserved for existing contracts.

a) (ii) in the same format, please provide, for paths with existing firm contracts, the associated expiry dates of these contracts and the implication on the associated ATC following their expiration.

PART II: Questions pertaining to the methodology and assumptions used by TE in its derivation of Total Transfer Capabilities (TTC) and Available Transfer Capabilities (ATC).

3. For the transfer paths listed in HQT-3 Document 1 Annexe 1:

   b) Please provide the same information as requested for Table A for Transfer Capabilities out of Quebec under Normal Summer Peak Conditions (30 degree C ambient temperature).

Path	TTC	Limiting Contingency	Limiting Element	CBM (i)	TRM (ii)	Existing Contracts (iii)	ATC	Comments on ATC Variability
ALCAN **New Brunswick** **NEPOOL-I** **NEPOOL-II** **VELCO** **Citizen Util** **NYPA** **HydroOne** **Beau-Chat** **CRT-NiagMo** **Maclaren** **Churchill Falls**								

PART II: Questions pertaining to the methodology and assumptions used by TE in its derivation of Total Transfer Capabilities (TTC) and Available Transfer Capabilities (ATC).

3. For the transfer paths listed in HQT-3 Document 1 Annexe 1:

   c) Please provide the same information as requested for Table A for Transfer Capabilities into Quebec under Normal Winter Peak Conditions (0 degree C ambient temperature).

Path	TTC	Limiting Contingency	Limiting Element	CBM (i)	TRM (ii)	Existing Contracts (iii)	ATC	Comments on ATC Variability
ALCAN								
New Brunswick								
NEPOOL-I								
NEPOOL-II								
VELCO								
Citizen Util								
NYPA								
HydroOne								
Beau-Chat								
CRT-NiagMo								
Maclaren								
Churchill Falls								

PART II: Questions pertaining to the methodology and assumptions used by TE in its derivation of Total Transfer Capabilities (TTC) and Available Transfer Capabilities (ATC).

3. For the transfer paths listed in HQT-3 Document 1 Annexe 1:

   d) Please provide the same information as requested for Table A for Transfer Capabilities out of Quebec under Normal Winter Peak Conditions (0 degree C ambient temperature).

Path	TTC	Limiting Contingency	Limiting Element	CBM (i)	TRM (ii)	Existing Contracts (iii)	ATC	Comments on ATC Variability
ALCAN **New Brunswick** **NEPOOL-I** **NEPOOL-II** **VELCO** **Citizen Util** **NYPA** **HydroOne Beau-Chat** **CRT-NiagMo** **Maclaren** **Churchill Falls**								

PART II: Questions pertaining to the methodology and assumptions used by TE in its derivation of Total Transfer Capabilities (TTC) and Available Transfer Capabilities (ATC).

3. For the transfer paths listed in HQT-3 Document 1 Annexe 1:

   e) If the methodology or assumptions employed by TE for calculating the ATC above are different from those developed by NERC (i.e. as referenced in Question 1a above), please repeat steps (a), (b), (c) and (d) above using the NERC methodology.

PART II: Questions pertaining to the methodology and assumptions used by TE in its derivation of Total Transfer Capabilities (TTC) and Available Transfer Capabilities (ATC).

4. Because of the interdependency between TTC and the operating conditions such as unit dispatch and switchyard configuration, the calculation of ATC for the Beauharnois-Chateauguay-Cedars Complex is complex (as noted in HQT-3 Document 1 Annexe 1 Section IX).

   a) What principles and criteria has TE adopted for determining how this system would be operated in consideration of the various transfer requirements?

PART II: Questions pertaining to the methodology and assumptions used by TE in its derivation of Total Transfer Capabilities (TTC) and Available Transfer Capabilities (ATC).

4. Because of the interdependency between TTC and the operating conditions such as unit dispatch and switchyard configuration, the calculation of ATC for the Beauharnois-Chateauguay-Cedars Complex is complex (as noted in HQT-3 Document 1 Annexe 1 Section IX).

   b) How much lead-time are affected parties given with respect to the available ATC?

PART II: Questions pertaining to the methodology and assumptions used by TE in its derivation of Total Transfer Capabilities (TTC) and Available Transfer Capabilities (ATC).

4. Because of the interdependency between TTC and the operating conditions such as unit dispatch and switchyard configuration, the calculation of ATC for the Beauharnois-Chateauguay-Cedars Complex is complex (as noted in HQT-3 Document 1 Annexe 1 Section IX).

   c) Other than for system emergencies or transmission outage reasons, could the ATC change once it has been posted on the OASIS? If yes, please list the circumstances under which it would change? How soon would the affected parties be notified of the change?

PART II: Questions pertaining to the methodology and assumptions used by TE in its derivation of Total Transfer Capabilities (TTC) and Available Transfer Capabilities (ATC).

5. a) Please confirm that, other than those paths identified in HQT-3 Document 1 Annexe 1, the internal transmission system in Quebec is generally uncongested and imposes no restrictions to transfers between interconnection points under normal conditions with all facilities in service. If, limitations do exist, please provide a detailed description of these limitations.

PART II: Questions pertaining to the methodology and assumptions used by TE in its derivation of Total Transfer Capabilities (TTC) and Available Transfer Capabilities (ATC).

5. b) Do the transmission reinforcements identified in this application improve the transfer capability of, or between, the identified transfer paths covered in Annexe 1? If yes, please provide details.

PART II: Questions pertaining to the methodology and assumptions used by TE in its derivation of Total Transfer Capabilities (TTC) and Available Transfer Capabilities (ATC).

6. a) For isolated and switchable radial generating units such as those connected from Ontario to Quebec or vice versa, are there special requirements in terms of their contracted operation (e.g. minimum connected time) as compared to native generating units connected within the TE system?

PART II: Questions pertaining to the methodology and assumptions used by TE in its derivation of Total Transfer Capabilities (TTC) and Available Transfer Capabilities (ATC).

6. b) If there are such special requirements, what is the basis for them? Are these special requirements applied to all producers on the same basis, or on a case-by-case basis?

PART III: Questions pertaining to non-discriminatory access to the TE System and fair treatment of market participants in TE's operation and management of its transmission system.

1. Please explain how TE's proposed transmission tariff meets the goal of "open and comparable access" as stated in HQT-10 Document 4, page 3.

PART III: Questions pertaining to non-discriminatory access to the TE System and fair treatment of market participants in TE's operation and management of its transmission system.

2. Generally, in markets where concern about market dominance exists, other approaches for ensuring fair access to transmission system other than assignment of short term or long term transmission rights have been considered and adopted.

   a) TE is proposing a "first come, first served" transmission capacity reservation system. Has TE considered other reservation methods?

PART III: Questions pertaining to non-discriminatory access to the TE System and fair treatment of market participants in TE's operation and management of its transmission system.

2. Generally, in markets where concern about market dominance exists, other approaches for ensuring fair access to transmission system other than assignment of short term or long term transmission rights have been considered and adopted.

   b) Did TE consider reservation methods employed by other jurisdictions similar to TE? If yes, please identify these jurisdictions and the reservation methods they employ.

PART III: Questions pertaining to non-discriminatory access to the TE System and fair treatment of market participants in TE's operation and management of its transmission system.

2. Generally, in markets where concern about market dominance exists, other approaches for ensuring fair access to transmission system other than assignment of short term or long term transmission rights have been considered and adopted.

   c) What is the rationale for TE deciding on its proposed methodology?

PART III: Questions pertaining to non-discriminatory access to the TE System and fair treatment of market participants in TE's operation and management of its transmission system.

3. In proposing its reservation method, what measures has TE included in its tariff and its use of the OASIS system (e.g. the level and timeliness of information provided to the market participants) to ensure that non-discriminatory access is afforded to all market participants in light of HQ-Production's dominant role in the Quebec market?

PART III: Questions pertaining to non-discriminatory access to the TE System and fair treatment of market participants in TE's operation and management of its transmission system.

4. Please provide actual monthly utilization statistics for the Point-to-Point transmission capacity reservations of the TE interconnections (paths as identified in HQT-3 Document 1 Annexe 1) for 1998, 1999, and 2000. The information should be separated into transfers into Quebec and transfers out of Quebec, for each of the identified paths. For the two types of transfers (i.e. into Quebec and out of Quebec) and for each path, please provide monthly statistics on:

   a) MW blocks, and the names of the associated parties, reserved under the Long Term Firm Point-to-Point Service;

PART III: Questions pertaining to non-discriminatory access to the TE System and fair treatment of market participants in TE's operation and management of its transmission system.

4. Please provide actual monthly utilization statistics for the Point-to-Point transmission capacity reservations of the TE interconnections (paths as identified in HQT-3 Document 1 Annexe 1) for 1998, 1999, and 2000. The information should be separated into transfers into Quebec and transfers out of Quebec, for each of the identified paths. For the two types of transfers (i.e. into Quebec and out of Quebec) and for each path, please provide monthly statistics on:

   b) MW blocks, and the names of the associated parties, reserved under the Short Term Firm Point-to-Point Service;

PART III: Questions pertaining to non-discriminatory access to the TE System and fair treatment of market participants in TE's operation and management of its transmission system.

4. Please provide actual monthly utilization statistics for the Point-to-Point transmission capacity reservations of the TE interconnections (paths as identified in HQT-3 Document 1 Annexe 1) for 1998, 1999, and 2000. The information should be separated into transfers into Quebec and transfers out of Quebec, for each of the identified paths. For the two types of transfers (i.e. into Quebec and out of Quebec) and for each path, please provide monthly statistics on:

   c) MW blocks, and the names of the associated parties, reserved under the Short Term Non-Firm Point-to-Point Service; and,

PART III: Questions pertaining to non-discriminatory access to the TE System and fair treatment of market participants in TE's operation and management of its transmission system.

4. Please provide actual monthly utilization statistics for the Point-to-Point transmission capacity reservations of the TE interconnections (paths as identified in HQT-3 Document 1 Annexe 1) for 1998, 1999, and 2000. The information should be separated into transfers into Quebec and transfers out of Quebec, for each of the identified paths. For the two types of transfers (i.e. into Quebec and out of Quebec) and for each path, please provide monthly statistics on:

   d) MW of transfer capacity available but not reserved.

PART III: Questions pertaining to non-discriminatory access to the TE System and fair treatment of market participants in TE's operation and management of its transmission system.

5. With a number of large interconnection contracts terminating in 2001, as discussed in HQT-4 Document 1 Section 1.4:

   a) What is TE's forecast of the transfer capabilities that would be made available when these contracts expire?

PART III: Questions pertaining to non-discriminatory access to the TE System and fair treatment of market participants in TE's operation and management of its transmission system.

5. With a number of large interconnection contracts terminating in 2001, as discussed in HQT-4 Document 1 Section 1.4:

   b) When would these capabilities be made available on the OASIS (i.e. please provide the specific dates)?

PART III: Questions pertaining to non-discriminatory access to the TE System and fair treatment of market participants in TE's operation and management of its transmission system.

5. With a number of large interconnection contracts terminating in 2001, as discussed in HQT-4 Document 1 Section 1.4:

   c) What is the reservation process being proposed by TE to allocate these capabilities?

PART III: Questions pertaining to non-discriminatory access to the TE System and fair treatment of market participants in TE's operation and management of its transmission system.

5. With a number of large interconnection contracts terminating in 2001, as discussed in HQT-4 Document 1 Section 1.4:

   d) Does HQ-Production have first right of refusal with regard to these capabilities?

PART III: Questions pertaining to non-discriminatory access to the TE System and fair treatment of market participants in TE's operation and management of its transmission system.

5. With a number of large interconnection contracts terminating in 2001, as discussed in HQT-4 Document 1 Section 1.4:

   e) Are there mechanisms for reselling reserved but unused transfer capabilities? If yes, what are the steps of this process, the roles and responsibilities of TE and the reseller, and the pricing principles adopted to ensure that the reselling process is fair to all and consistent with the goals and principles presented in HQT-10 Document 4, page 3.

PART III: Questions pertaining to non-discriminatory access to the TE System and fair treatment of market participants in TE's operation and management of its transmission system.

6. What is the nature of the changes being made to the revised Code of Conduct? When will the revised Code of Conduct be made available?

PART III: Questions pertaining to non-discriminatory access to the TE System and fair treatment of market participants in TE's operation and management of its transmission system.

7. Has TE considered either establishing a committee similar to the Network Operating Committee, or expanding the scope of the existing Network Operating Committee, to deal with market issues of concern to Point-to-Point Service customers.

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

1. Please elaborate on the discussion of discounts covered in HQT-10 Document 1, Page 27, and HQT-10 Document 4 Page 11 and Page 17 as related to:

   a) The objectives, principles, and process being proposed for providing rate discounts for Point-To-Point Services.

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

1. Please elaborate on the discussion of discounts covered in HQT-10 Document 1, Page 27, and HQT-10 Document 4 Page 11 and Page 17 as related to:

   b) What other jurisdictions use the discounting approach being proposed by TE?

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

1. Please elaborate on the discussion of discounts covered in HQT-10 Document 1, Page 27, and HQT-10 Document 4 Page 11 and Page 17 as related to:

   c) How much lead time does TE provide to the market participants on their intention to offer discounts?

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

1. Please elaborate on the discussion of discounts covered in HQT-10 Document 1, Page 27, and HQT-10 Document 4 Page 11 and Page 17 as related to:

   d) How are the discount levels determined?

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

1. Please elaborate on the discussion of discounts covered in HQT-10 Document 1, Page 27, and HQT-10 Document 4 Page 11 and Page 17 as related to:

   e) In the case when the user requests the discount, how does TE negotiate such a deal? Has TE considered an auction process for allocating the available short term capacities?

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

1. Please elaborate on the discussion of discounts covered in HQT-10 Document 1, Page 27, and HQT-10 Document 4 Page 11 and Page 17 as related to:

   f) Are discount offers coordinated with other jurisdictions?

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

1. Please elaborate on the discussion of discounts covered in HQT-10 Document 1, Page 27, and HQT-10 Document 4 Page 11 and Page 17 as related to:

   g) Are the results from the application of discounts monitored to determine whether the discount objectives have been met?

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

1. Please elaborate on the discussion of discounts covered in HQT-10 Document 1, Page 27, and HQT-10 Document 4 Page 11 and Page 17 as related to:

   h) Does TE's projection of revenue requirements include an increased level of revenue from discounting of Point-to-Point Services? If yes, how much incremental revenue would result from this practice?

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

2. Please provide on a monthly basis for 1998, 1999 and 2000,

   a) The actual total MW capability offered with discounts for each of the Point-to-Point Services (i.e. Long Term Firm, Short Term Firm, and Short Term Non-Firm);

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

2. Please provide on a monthly basis for 1998, 1999 and 2000,

   b) The percentage of MW capability offered with discounts in relation to the total MW transfer capability available for each of the Point-to-Point Services;

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

2. Please provide on a monthly basis for 1998, 1999 and 2000,

   c) $ value of reduced transmission revenue as result of discounting as compared to $ value of revenue that would be received if there was no discount for the actual levels of capacity reservations?; and,

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

2. Please provide on a monthly basis for 1998, 1999 and 2000,

   d) An estimate of the amount of revenue gained from discounting that otherwise would not have been received without this enticement.

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

3. a) Of the total revenue required, how much is being allocated to Native Load and Network Integration Services, and how much to Point-to-Point Services? What is rationale for this allocation?

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

3. b) For the revenue allocated to the Point-to-Point Services, how much is then allocated to the Long Term Services and how much to the Short Term Services? What is the rationale for this allocation?

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

3. c) For the revenue allocated to the Point-to-Point Short Term Services, how much is allocated to the Short Term Firm Services and how much to the Short Term Non-Firm Services? What is the rationale for this allocation?

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

4. In HQT-10 Document 4 Page 13, it is noted that the long term Point-to-Point rate is based on annual peak demand (1-CP) while the short term Point-to-Point rate is based on the sum of the monthly coincidental peak (12-CP). The rationale stated in the evidence for the use of the latter is that "this more closely reflects the strong seasonal nature of electricity demand in Quebec".

   a) Please explain how employing the 12-CP method better accomplishes this objective as compared to the use of the 1-CP method.

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

4. In HQT-10 Document 4 Page 13, it is noted that the long term Point-to-Point rate is based on annual peak demand (1-CP) while the short term Point-to-Point rate is based on the sum of the monthly coincidental peak (12-CP). The rationale stated in the evidence for the use of the latter is that "this more closely reflects the strong seasonal nature of electricity demand in Quebec".

   b) It appears that in applying the 12-CP method, the same rate is derived for each month of the year, but this rate is greater than the yearly rate divided by 12. Should the annual revenue produced by the Short Term Point-to-Point Service not be the same regardless of the method used for allocation purposes?

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

5. Please provide the rationale for charging the same rate to Long and Short Term Firm Point-to-Point Service (HQT-11 Document 2 Annexe7) and Non-Firm Point-to-Point Service (HQT-11 Document 2 Annexe 8) even though the latter receives a lower level of service?

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

6. Based on the rates shown in Schedule 7 of HQT-11 Document 2,

   a) The current application will increase the rate for Long Term Firm Service by about 5.75% from the current rate (from $71.09/kw/year to $75.18/kw/year) while the rates for the short term services remain about the same. In addition, specific charges for complementary (ancillary) services will be added to the basic services. Please provide the reasons for the rate increases overall but in particular as applied to the Long Term Firm Service.

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

6. Based on the rates shown in Schedule 7 of HQT-11 Document 2,

   b) Please provide the rationale on the use of 20 days for deriving the weekly Short Term Point-to-Point rate from the monthly rate.

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

6. Based on the rates shown in Schedule 7 of HQT-11 Document 2,

   c) Please provide the rationale on the use of 5 work days for deriving the daily Short Term Point-to-Point rate from the weekly rate.

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

6. Based on the rates shown in Schedule 7 of HQT-11 Document 2,

   d) Please provide the rationale on the use of 24 hours for deriving the hourly Short Term Point-to-Point rate from the daily rate.

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

7. With respect to congestion management,

   a) Please compare and contrast the methodologies used by TE for managing transmission congestions with FERC's "bumping rules" referred to in HQT-10 Document 4 page 4.

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

7. With respect to congestion management,

   b) With TE's proposed congestion relief procedure, besides considering the firmness of the various services in prioritizing curtailments, does TE take into consideration unit effectiveness and location in relieving congestions? If yes, how does TE optimize or prioritize the required actions?

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

7. With respect to congestion management,

   c) TE proposes to charge Firm Point-to-Point Service, Native Load and Network customers with proportionate shares of the cost of redispatching in event of constraints on the transmission system. How does this apply in practice in conjunction with the "bumping" rules also being proposed? Do customers of Firm Point-to-Point Service have the right to withdraw their contracted transfer rather than paying for the cost of redispatching?

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

7. With respect to congestion management,

   d) Please describe the overall congestion management process in more detail (e.g. through a flow chart), in particular, with regard to timeline, required decisions, available management options, participants' input, and reconciliation following relief actions.

PART IV: Questions pertaining to fair pricing of TE's services.

7. With respect to congestion management,

   e) Is the congestion relief mechanism applied similarly if the limitation is caused by system conditions that originate outside the Quebec system?